

Congressional Voting Record

108th Congress, 1st Session January 2003—December 2003

A Special Report on Legislation Vital to American Retirees

888 16th Street, NW, Suite 520 ♦ Washington, DC 20006 (202) 974-8222 ♦ (888) 373-6497

www.retiredamericans.org



Congressional Voting Record

108th Congress, 1st Session January 2003—December 2003

This past session of Congress was a direct assault on the quality of life for retirees. Congress passed, and President Bush signed, legislation that creates a privatized Medicare drug program that benefits insurance and pharmaceutical companies, not older Americans. The Medicare Part B program, which covers physician services, is now subject to means-testing based on income, undercutting the core of social insurance principles. A third consecutive year of tax breaks for the wealthiest Americans threatens the financial stability of the Social Security and Medicare Trust Funds. Just as the baby boomer generation is ready to retire, budget deficits are now at an all-time high. Congress was not senior-friendly in 2003.

The new Medicare law was the most shocking assault on seniors during the last year. The drug program will begin in 2006. In the meantime, seniors will receive so-called "discount cards" that have no guaranteed savings. Unlike the rest of Medicare, the new 2006 drug program has no standard premiums or benefits because private health plans will determine them. There is a large gap in coverage so that seniors will still pay premiums and receive no benefits once their drug costs reach \$2,250. Only after \$5,100 in annual drug costs would coverage resume. Medicare is forbidden from negotiating for lower prices with the pharmaceutical companies. Seniors and all taxpayers suffer. In addition, the Congressional Budget Office estimates that 2.7 million retirees will lose their current employer-provided coverage because the new law has inadequate protections for employers to keep coverage. Starting in 2010, seniors in many parts of the country could see the entire Medicare system privatized and run by private insurance companies and HMOs. All of this means that Americans will continue to pay the highest drug prices in the world and older Americans will continue to bear the greatest burden.

With the privatization of Medicare, there is no doubt that the Social Security system can be far behind. The 2003 Medicare law shows that Congress is willing to gamble with the health and economic well-being of older Americans. Already, President Bush reiterated his support for Social Security privatization in his 2004 State of the Union Address. Even after the corporate and mutual fund scandals of the past three years, many of our elected officials still are willing to play with the retirement security of America's seniors. What seniors really need are tough pension and retirement security laws that punish wrongdoers and protect the savings from a lifetime of hard work.

This Voting Record reflects how committed our elected representatives are to retirees and older Americans. Use it to educate yourself on where your elected representatives stand. Get active today and help create an America that protects the health and economic security of seniors, strengthens families and builds safe and thriving communities.

George J. Kourpias

President

Edward F. Coyle Executive Director

How To Read This Record

Democrat (D) Republican (R) Independent (I)

✓ Voted With Alliance Policy

Voted Against Alliance Policy

S Speaker Did Not Vote

? Did Not Vote

O Not Eligible Member

+ Announced For

Announced Against

AL At-Large

The number before each name indicates

Congressional District.

100% Best Score Possible

SENATE VOTES

The following is a list of ten key votes selected as representative of the votes of critical importance to retirees taken by the U.S. Senate:

1 Family Caregivers

Senator Mikulski, D-MD, introduced an amendment to a tax bill that would provide a tax credit of up to \$5,000 for family caregivers. People with chronic conditions or people caring for those with chronic conditions would qualify for this tax credit, which would include expenses such as prescription drugs, home health care, durable medical equipment, adult day care, respite care, and physical and occupational therapy. The amendment failed 48-51. A **YES** vote is the pro-retiree vote. S. 1054, Roll Call No. 169, May 15, 2003.

2 Medicare Drug Funding

Senator Kennedy, D-MA, introduced an amendment to a tax bill that would shift \$150 billion in dividend and tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans to the Medicare Trust Fund. The funding would be in addition to the \$400 billion in the fiscal year 2004 budget resolution and would provide the basis for a comprehensive, voluntary, and affordable Medicare prescription drug program. The amendment failed 48-52. A **YES** vote is the proretiree vote. S. 1054, Roll Call No. 173, May 15, 2003.

3 Tax Breaks

Final passage of the 2003 tax conference report means that \$330 billion in tax breaks for the wealthiest Americans threatens the financial stability of the Social Security and Medicare Trust Funds. The long term cost of the bill could reach \$800 billion because of budget gimmicks. The vast majority of seniors receive little or no benefit under the bill. The conference report passed 50-50 with Vice President Cheney breaking the tie by voting yes by voice vote. A **NO** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R. 2, Roll Call 196, May 23, 2003. President Bush signed the legislation into law on May 28, 2003.

4 Guaranteed Drug Coverage

Senator Stabenow, D-MI, introduced an amendment to the prescription drug legislation that would guarantee that a Medicarerun prescription drug program would be available to all beneficiaries. The bill under consideration provided for a Medicare-run program only if fewer than two private plans were available in a region. The amendment failed 37-58. A **YES** vote is the pro-retiree vote. S. 1, Roll Call No. 227, June 18, 2003.

5 Retiree Benefits Protections I

Senator Rockefeller, D-WV, introduced an amendment to the prescription drug legislation that would ensure that contributions made on a beneficiary's behalf by a former employer count toward meeting the bill's catastrophic limit. Employer-provided coverage is the largest source of retiree drug benefits. Without counting those employer contributions, very few beneficiaries would be eligible for catastrophic coverage. The amendment was tabled (set aside) 52-43. A **NO** vote is the pro-retiree vote. S. 1, Roll Call No. 233, June 24, 2003.

6 Eliminate Coverage Gap

Senator Boxer, D-CA, introduced an amendment to the prescription drug legislation that would eliminate the gap in coverage, the so-called "doughnut hole." The legislation had a gap of \$1,313 whereby a beneficiary pays 100% of costs between \$4,500 and \$5,813 for prescription drugs while still paying monthly premiums. The amendment was tabled (set aside) 54-42. A **NO** vote is the pro-retiree vote. S. 1, Roll Call No. 236, June 24, 2003.

Retiree Benefits Protections II

Senator Dodd, D-CT, introduced an amendment to the prescription drug legislation that would increase the Medicare subsidy to employers in order to retain retiree prescription drug coverage. Without appropriate incentives, many employers would drop retiree coverage. The amendment failed 41-55. A **YES** vote is the pro-retiree vote. S. 1, Roll Call No. 241, June 24, 2003.

8 Senate Drug Bill

This legislation, introduced by Senator Frist, R-TN, permits HMOs and the insurance industry to establish prescription drug premiums and benefits. The legislation has no standard coverage or availability. In addition, there is a large gap in coverage premiums. There are no provisions to bring down the costs of prescription drugs. The bill passed the Senate 76-21. A **NO** vote is the pro-retiree vote. S. 1, Roll Call No. 262, June 26, 2003.

9 Stop Health Savings Accounts

Senator Daschle, D-SD, offered a motion that the inclusion of \$6 billion for health savings accounts in the prescription drug bill violated the Budget Act because the funds for health savings accounts were inappropriately allocated under the budget resolution for fiscal year 2004. The Senate passed the motion to waive the Budget Act 61-39. Sixty votes are necessary for a waiver. A **NO** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R. 1, Roll Call No. 458, November 24, 2003.

10 Final Medicare Bill

The conference report on Medicare creates a prescription drug benefit program with no guaranteed benefits and premiums. Beginning in 2006, beneficiaries will face a \$2,850 gap in coverage while still paying monthly premiums. The legislation prohibits Medicare from negotiating for lower drug prices using the buying power of over 40 million beneficiaries. Over 2.7 million retirees will lose existing coverage because of inadequate protections. Privatization of the entire Medicare system can begin in 2010. The Senate passed the conference report 54-44. A **NO** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R. 1, Roll Call No. 459, November 25, 2003. President Bush signed the legislation into law on December 8, 2003.

SENATE VOTES

			í		inding	0	a Cower	igge igge	or color of	orđeći	The Said of the Sa	s Accord	8				G /	Judich & Judich	0	ر ص ^و	gas ;	os so	orded orded	I SUN A SUN	Se of the file of
	Z,	erily .	de dicte	Origo Origo	Jarane Jarane	ed Ori	Coletain V.	e coder	or con	or the	in Sain Aei	ine sind	se sone			oteolicat Redicat	Strie	Judick Strategies	pilice?	ining sining	e Gylee	on con section of the contract	71180 71180 300 (ing Agi	dite 20
Alle p. tet	*. X.	3.7	` %` 	» .	\ \sigma_1 \	6.	\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.	ه. آن ن	اره دی	10.	, 5 ₄₀ ,	ities .	ATT 15 12	*. X	3. V.	ر ر ا	» .) %·	6.	ر ا	ه. احم (ي من	, 10 .	, 6 ₄₀ ,	itter
Alliance Position Alabama	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	%	%	Alliance Position Illinois	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	%	%
Shelby (R) Sessions (R)	? X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	14 10	Durbin (D) Fitzgerald (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	90 0	97 20
Alaska													Indiana					0							
Stevens (R) Murkowski (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	12 0	Lugar (R) Bayh (D)	X	X	X	X	?	X	X	X	X	X	0 90	14 77
Arizona													lowa												
McCain (R) Kyl (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	40 0	40 0	Grassley (R) Harkin (D)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0 100	7 100
Arkansas		-		-		-				1.6			Kansas		.,		14		1.7	.,	14		1.1		
Lincoln (D) Pryor (D)	1	1	1	1	1	/	1	X	X	X	70 90	73 90	Brownback (R) Roberts (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0 0	3 0
California													Kentucky												
Feinstein (D) Boxer (D)	/	1	1	1	1	1	1	X	X	X	70 90	83 97	McConnell (R) Bunning (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0 0	10 10
Colorado													Louisiana												
Campbell (R) Allard (R)	X	X	X	X	X	?	?	X	X	X	0 10	12 13	Breaux (D) Landrieu (D)	1	1	1	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	30 50	40 70
Connecticut		1		1				1/			00	0.0	Maine		1/	-	1/		· · ·	1/	· ·	1/	· ·	40	
Dodd (D) Lieberman (D)	1	1	1	?	1	?	?	?	1	?	90 100	93 100	Snowe (R) Collins (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	10 0	20 17
Delaware		-	-	-	0			1/				0=	Maryland											400	100
Biden (D) Carper (D)	1	1	1	X	?	1	1	X	X	X	89 60	97 70	Sarbanes (D) Mikulski (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	90	100 97
Florida Graham (D)		1	/	/	9		9	/	/		100	96	Massachusetts Kennedy (D)				9				V			89	97
Nelson (D)	1	/	1	/	/	/	/	X	1	/	90	93	Kerry (D)	/	/	/	+	-	-	+	_	/	-		100
Georgia													Michigan												
Miller (D) Chambliss (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	38 0	Levin (D) Stabenow (D)		1	1	1	1	1	1	X	/	1	100 90	100 97
Hawaii				-									Minnesota												
Inouye (D) Akaka (D)	/	1	1	/	1	1	1	X	1	1	89 90	97 97	Dayton (D) Coleman (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	90 0	97 0
Idaho													Mississippi												
Craig (R) Crapo (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	7 10	Cochran (R) Lott (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0 20	10 10

SENATE VOTES

					ing	0	, we	300 j	ons Car	الأرور ا	onsil	Pccong	5					ing	,	والماد	,0° ;	ons Car	کي ج	ionsil	≽c/c
			1000 Net	Orugo Orugo	JINC S	od Ori	overite	Coner	36° 16	1160 /	in Saying	is sill	,0°			ieg vet	STUPO	unic	_{od} Ori	ovejite O	Skorne	onethe	2,0th	its air	iggi eg Grafe
	40	S. A. Cally S.	egicate	st Steg	Juding St. P.	einee ?	Code in Service in A. P.	etiree	of control	og (.), Yeo	11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Se of Sold Single Single Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold	SC.	*; <\cdress{c}	Trilly D	edicate	st Sieg	Judine S. A.	in S	innina	e s	or con second	ios (s 2, 14 _{6,}	Out of the Art of the	ileite s
Alliance Position	Y	Y	N D	Y	N S.	N.	Y	N P	N.	N	%	%	Alliance Position	Y	Y	N D.	Y	N D	N.	Y	N	N.	N	%	%
Missouri													Pennsylvania												
Bond (R)	X	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	X	X	Ŷ	X	X	Ŷ	0 0	3	Specter (R)	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	X	Ŷ	Ŷ	X	X	X	0	
	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	U	U	Santorum (R) Rhode Island	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	V	^	^	10	3
Montana Baucus (D)	<u></u>	1	1	X	Х	X	X	X	X	X	30	50	Reed (D)	1	/	/	/	1	/	1	/	1	/	100	100
Burns (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	10	Chafee (R)	X	/	1	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	30	40
Nebraska					0								South Carolina			-	-				-				
Hagel (R) Nelson (D)	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	X	22 40	13 43	Hollings (D) Graham (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	X	1	100 20	100 20
Nevada					ľ	•	ľ						South Dakota	Ť				ľ		ľ	•		Ť		
Reid (D)	/	1	1	1	1	/	1	X	1	1	90	97	Daschle (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	X	√,	1	90	
Ensign (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	/	X	/	20	10	Johnson (D)	/	\	\	\	/	/	/	X	/	/	90	90
New Hampshire	·	~	v		v		~	1	~	1	20	10	Tennessee	~	<u> </u>	V		~		v	V	v	~	0	0
Gregg (R) Sununu (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	X	1	20 20	10 20	Frist (R) Alexander (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Ŷ	x	0	
New Jersey							ľ	·	ľ	Ť			Texas	Ť		ľ	·	ľ		ľ	·	ľ	Ť		
Corzine (D)	\	\	√,	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	90	93	Hutchison (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	
Lautenberg (D)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	X			90	90	Cornyn (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	/	X	X	10	10
New Mexico			v	V		V	~	V	~	V	0	7	Utah Hatch (R)	v	V	V	V	v	V	v	V	~	V	0	2
Domenici (R) Bingaman (D)	>	* /	* /	* /	* /	1	X	X	X	*	90	7 97	Bennett (R)	X	x	X	X	X	X	Ŷ	X	X	X	0 0	
New York			Ť	Ť		•		·	Ť				Vermont	·		·	·		·	ľ	·		·		
Schumer (D)	1	√,	√,	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	90	93	Leahy (D)	1	√,	√,	/	1	/	1	X	1	/	90	
Clinton (D)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/			100	100	Jeffords (I)	/	\	/	X	/	X	X	X	X	X	40	50
North Carolina	/	1	1	9		1		-		-	100	07	Virginia Warner (R)	~	V	V	V	~	V	v	V	~	~	0	10
Edwards (D) Dole (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	100 0	97 0	Allen (R)	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	X	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	0 0	
North Dakota		•		·	ľ	·	ľ	•	ľ	·			Washington												
	\ ,	√,	√,	1	1	1	1	X	X	X	70	87	Murray (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	90	
Dorgan (D)	/	\	\	/	/	\		X	X	X	70	90	Cantwell (D)	•	V	V	V	/	\	V	X	•		90	97
Ohio (D)	<u> </u>	V	V	V		V	V	V	V	V	0		West Virginia Byrd (D)			1	/	/		1		/		100	97
DeWine (R) Voinovich (R)	X	X	X	X	Ŷ	X	X	X	Ŷ	x	0	7 7	Rockefeller (D)					1				1	1		100
Oklahoma	•	·	·		ľ				ľ				Wisconsin												
Nickles (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	?	X	/	20	7	Kohl (D)	√,				1				√,	1	100	
Inhofe (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	?	X	X	0	4	Feingold (D)	/	\	\	\	/	\	V	X	V	\	90	93
Oregon	1	1	1	1	1	1	/	V	v	V	70	00	Wyoming Thomas (P)	v	V	V	V	v	V	v	V	v	V	0	2
Wyden (D) Smith (R)	V	V	V	V	V	V	X	N.	N.	N.	70 0	80 23	Thomas (R) Enzi (R)	Ŷ	V.			X	V.				*	0	

The following is a list of ten key votes selected as representative of the votes of critical importance to retirees taken by the U.S. House of Representatives:

1 Pension Protections

Representative Rob Andrews, D-NJ, offered a substitute amendment to a pension bill to require that executive pensions become subject to the same rules that apply to other employees, investment advice comes from qualified, independent advisers, and older employees have the choice between conversion to a cash balance plan or to maintain their current defined benefit pension plan. The amendment failed 193-236. A **YES** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R. 1000, Roll Call 187, May 14, 2003.

2 Tax Breaks

Final passage of the 2003 tax conference report means that \$330 billion in tax breaks for the wealthiest Americans threatens the financial stability of the Social Security and Medicare Trust Funds. The long term cost of the bill could reach \$800 billion because of budget gimmicks. The vast majority of seniors receive little or no benefit under the bill. The conference report passed 231-200. A **NO** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R. 2, Roll Call 225, May 23, 2003. President Bush signed the legislation into law on May 28, 2003.

3 Skewed Estate Taxes

Representative Dunn, R-WA, introduced a bill to extend permanently the repeal of the federal estate and gift tax, which is set to expire beginning in 2010. Repeal of the estate tax benefits only the wealthiest Americans, threatens the financial stability of the Social Security and Medicare Trust Funds, and impedes the funding of a comprehensive, voluntary, and affordable Medicare prescription drug benefit program. The bill passed 264-163. A **NO** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R. 8, Roll Call No. 288, June 18, 2003.

4 Comprehensive Drug Benefit

Representative Rangel, D-NY, offered a substitute amendment to the prescription drug legislation to create a comprehensive, voluntary, and affordable Medicare prescription drug benefit program. The proposed amendment would have created a Medicare prescription drug benefit that would provide a monthly \$25 premium, a \$100 annual deductible, and a 20% co-insurance payment to \$2,000 after which Medicare pays 100% of costs. The amendment failed 175-255. A **YES** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R. 1, Roll Call No. 330, June 27, 2003.

5 House Drug Bill

This legislation, introduced by Speaker Hastert, R-IL, permits HMOs and the insurance industry to establish prescription drug premiums and benefits. In addition, there is a large gap in coverage: once drug costs reach \$2,000 in a year, the Medicare beneficiary must pay all costs without any co-payments for the next \$2,900, while still paying monthly premiums. There are no provisions to bring down the skyrocketing costs of prescription drugs. The bill also privatizes the entire Medicare system beginning in 2010. The bill passed 216-215. A **NO** vote is the proretiree vote. H.R. 1, Roll Call No. 332, June 27, 2003.

6 Drug Reimportation

Representative Gutknecht, R-MN, introduced legislation that would permit the importation of prescriptions drugs only if the drugs and the facilities where they are manufactured are approved by the Food and Drug Administration. The bill requires imported prescription drugs to be packaged and shipped using government-approved counterfeit-resistant technologies. The bill passed 243-186. A **YES** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R. 2427, Roll Call No. 445, July 25, 2003.

Ouaranteed Drug Coverage

Representative Michaud, D-ME, moved to instruct the House conferees during the House-Senate negotiations on the prescription drug legislation. The instructions would include provisions in the final bill to guarantee access to a Medicare-run prescription drug program if privately administered plans did not exist in a region. The instructions also would end any attempt to privatize Medicare in 2010. The motion failed 189-220. A **YES** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R. 1, Roll Call No. 502, September 10, 2003.

8 Retiree Benefits Protections

Representative Schakowsky, D-IL, moved to instruct the House conferees during the House-Senate negotiations on the prescription drug legislation. The instructions would include provisions in the final bill to eliminate the so-called health savings accounts and use the savings to provide additional protections for retiree health care benefits. The motion failed 190-218. A **YES** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R.1, Roll Call No. 542, October 15, 2003.

Stop Medicare Privatization

PRepresentative Brown, D-OH, moved to instruct the House conferees during the House-Senate negotiations on the prescription drug legislation. The instructions would include provisions in the final bill to eliminate the establishment of a Medicare voucher program without guaranteed benefits and premiums. The motion failed 194-209. A **YES** vote is the proretiree vote. H.R. 1, Roll Call No. 573, October 28, 2003.

Final Medicare Bill

The conference report on Medicare creates a prescription drug benefit program with no guaranteed benefits and premiums. Beginning in 2006, beneficiaries will face a \$2,850 gap in coverage while still paying monthly premiums. The legislation prohibits Medicare from negotiating for lower drug prices using the buying power of over 40 million beneficiaries. Over 2.7 million retirees will lose existing coverage because of inadequate protections. Privatization of the entire Medicare system can begin in 2010. The House passed the conference report 220-215. A **NO** vote is the pro-retiree vote. H.R. 1, Roll Call No. 669, November 22, 2003. President Bush signed the legislation into law on December 8, 2003.

House Votes

	Persion	Projecti	jon's served	istate is only in	ates in the state of the state	Drugo Orugo	in the state of th	ide Childer	OR CONSTRUCTION	ind to be seen to be s	Artical Silver S	n or or o			gension	रूप होती रूप होती रूप होती	to de la	Only State	tes in the state of the state o	Kingo Chingo Ching Chingo Chingo Chingo Chingo Chingo Chingo Chingo Chingo Chin	eneit.	stire stire	ide Andrews	ere of the of the of	St.
Alliance Position Y	ν. Ν	ົ _{້າ} N	Y	ر ا ا	6. Y	ν Υ	ъ.` Y	ο.΄ Υ	N , to.	· 2 ⁽⁰	iike	,	Alliance Positio		N	ົ _{້າ} ວິ N	Y	໌ _છ .` N	6. Y	۰ ۸: ۲	- ه.` ۲	ົ ຈ [ຸ] . Y	N v	, ·	%
Alabama 1. Bonner (R)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	0		 8. Cardoza (D 9. Radanovich (F 		V	X	\	V	\	V	1	V	V	90 0	90
	X	Ŷ	x	Ŷ	5	X	X	X	X	10	3		o. Dooley (D)		2	X	Ŷ	?	Ŷ	?	X	?	Ŷ	25	48
2. Everett (R) X 3. Rogers (R) X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0		1. Nunes (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	?	X	X	0	0
4. Aderholt (R) -	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	10	3		2. Thomas (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0
5. Cramer (D)	X	X	1	X	/	/	/	/	X	50	43		3. Capps (D)			/	/	/	/		/	/	/	100	93
5. Cramer (D) 6. Bachus (R) 7. Davis (D)	, X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	100	0		4. Gallegly (R		X	X	X	X	X	?	X	X	X	0	0
	•	•	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	100	100		5. McKeon (R) 6. Dreier (R)) 💸	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	\rightarrow \lambda	Ş.	\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}	V	Ž.	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	\\rightarrow}	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	10 0	3
Alaska						_							7. Sherman (E) /	5	5	5	5	x	5	5	5	5	90	90
AL Young (R)	X	X	X	X	X	?	X	X	X	0	0		8. Berman (D)		/	/	/	/	X	/	1	/	1	90	97
Arizona												2	9. Schiff (D)		1	1	1	1	/	1	1	1	1	100	83
1. Renzi (R)	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	10	10		0. Waxman (E		1	1	1	√,	X	1	1	✓,	1	90	97
1. Renzi (R) X 2. Franks (R) X	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	10	10		1. Becerra (D)		1	1	1	V	\ ,	1	1	V	1		100 100
3. Shadegg (R)	X	X	X	1	\	X	X	X	1	30	10		2. Solis (D) 3. Watson (D)	1	1	1	/	1	/	y	1	1	/	100 100	
4. Pastor (D)		1	V	V	1	V	?	V	V	100 11	100		4. Roybal-Allard		•	•	V	•	V	•	V	V	•	100	100
5. Hayworth (R) X 6. Flake (R)	· 🗘	Ŷ	Ŷ	1	1	Ŷ	Y	X	1	30	17		,	1	1	1	/	/	/	1	1	1	1	100	100
7. Grijalva (D)	5	5	5	1	1	5	5	5	1	100	100		5. Waters (D)	•	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	•		100
8. Kolbe (R)	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	10	3		6. Harman (D		/		/	/	/	/	/	√	/	100	80
Arkansas	·	ľ	·	ľ		ľ	·	·	Ť			3	7. Millender-N				/	/	v	/	1	/	1	00	93
1. Berry (D)		Y	./	./	./	./	./	./	./	90	73	- 3.	8. Napolitano (E	0) ./	1	1	1	1	<u>^</u>	1	1		1	90 100	
2. Snyder (D)	/	5	X	1	1	1	1	1	1	90	86		9. Sanchez, Li			•	•	٧	•	•	•	•	•		.00
3. Boozman (R) X	X	X	X	X	/	X	X	X	X	10	5		,		1	/	/	/	1	/	1	1	1	100	100
4. Ross (D)	/	X	1	/	1	1	1	1	1	90	80		0. Royce (R)	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	?	X	11	4
California													1. Lewis (R)	X ?	X	Ŷ	Ŷ	X	Ÿ	Ŷ	Ŷ	X	Ŷ	0	0
1. Thompson (D) X	/	X	X	/	X	1	J	J	1	60	76		2. Miller, Gary (R 3. Baca (D)	()	1	1	1	1	7	1	1	1	1	0 100	0 97
2. Herger (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0		4. Calvert (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	?	X	X	0	0
3. Ose (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0		5. Bono (R)	X	X	X	X	X		X	?	X	X	11	3
4. Doolittle (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	3		6. Rohrabacher (X	X	X	X	/	X	X	X	X	10	3
5. Matsui (D) 6. Woolsey (D)	. 1		1	1	X	1	1	1	1	89 100	93	4	7. Sanchez, Lo	oretta	(D)	,	.,	,	.,	,	,	,	,		
7. Miller, George (D)	/	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		100 100	4	o Cov (D)	V	V	V	Ŷ	V	Ÿ	V	V	V	V	80	83
8. Pelosi (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		100		8. Cox (R) 9. Issa (R)	Ŷ	X	Y	Y	Y	Ŷ	Ŷ	Y	Ŷ	Y	0	0
9. Lee (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		100		0. Cunningham	(R) X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Ŷ	X	0	0
10. Tauscher (D) 🗸	/	/	X	/	X	1	/	/	/	80	83		1. Filner (D)		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		100
11. Pombo (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0		2. Hunter (R)	X	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	?	X	11	4
12. Lantos (D) 13. Stark (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	100 100	100 100	5	3. Davis (D)		/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	100	90
13. Stark (D) 14. Eshoo (D)	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	1	1	90	97	(Colorado												
15. Honda (D)	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	1	1	90	93	1	. DeGette (D)	1	1	/	/	1	X	/	1	/	/	90	97
16. Lofgren (D) 🗸	1	?	1	1	X	1	1	1	1	89	97		. Udall (D)		/	1	X	/	X	?	1	1	/	78	93
17. Farr (D)	1	X	/	/	X	/	/	/	/	80	90	3	. McInnis (R)	X	X	X	?	X	/	X	X	X	X	11	4

, a	install	्रे के के के किया है। किया के किया के किया के किया के किया किया के किया के किया के किया के किया के किया के किया	Ferred C	State of Sta	of the state of th	7: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	The state of the s	ine sine sine sine sine sine sine sine s	Onet of the order	ing Aro	as did single si	e oo	ζ,	enion (to be at 3.	on stand	State of Sta	otes large	Jugo Jugo Jugo Jugo	Septim Se	eije s	One of the control of	ind Ard Single S	as did to the file in the interior of the inte
Alliance Position Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	%	%	Alliance Position		N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	%	%
4. Musgrave (R) X 5. Hefley (R) X 6. Tancredo (R) X 7. Beauprez (R) X	XXXX	XXX	XXXX	/ / / /	/X/X	XXX	XXX	XXX	/X/X	30 0 30 0	30 3 10 0	10. Deal (R) 11. Gingrey (R) 12. Burns (R) 13. Scott (D)	XXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXX	XXX	XXXX	XXX	XXX	X ? . /	XXXX	0 0 0 60	0 0 0 60
Connecticut			-	-	-		-	-	-			Hawaii				-		-				-		
1. Larson (D) 2. Simmons (R) 3. DeLauro (D)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	100 0 100	93 0 100	1. Abercrombie (D 2. Case (D)		/	X	X	1	/	1	X	1	/	90 80	90 80
4. Shays (R)	X	X	X	X	/	X	X	X	X	10	7	Idaho												
5. Johnson (R) X Delaware	X		X	χ		X	?	χ	χ	22	10	1. Otter (R) 2. Simpson (R)	X	X	X	X	X	/	X	X	X	X	10 10	3
AL Castle (R)	X	X	X	Х		X	X	X	X	10	7	Illinois												
Florida 1. Miller (R) 2. Boyd (D) 3. Brown (D) 4. Crenshaw (R) 5. Brown-Waite (R) 6. Stearns (R) 7. Mica (R) 8. Keller (R) 9. Bilirakis (R) 10. Young (R) 11. Davis (D) 12. Putnam (R) 13. Harris (R) 14. Goss (R) 15. Weldon (R) 16. Foley (R) 17. Meek (D) 18. Ros-Lehtinen (R) 19. Wexler (D) 20. Deutsch (D) 21. Diaz-Balart, L. (R) 22. Shaw (R) 23. Hastings (D) 24. Feeney (R)	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X	XX/XXXXX? XXXXXX/X/X/XX/X	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	×//×/×/×/×××××××//×//×	**************************************	××/×××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	×//××××××/×××/×/×/×/×/×/×/×/×/×/×/×/×/	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	20 60 100 0 20 0 10 10 14 80 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 0 0 100 0 0 0 0	9 63 100 0 20 0 3 3 4 83 0 0 0 0 0 0 90 0 100 97 3 3 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	1. Rush (D) 2. Jackson (D) 3. Lipinski (D) 4. Gutierrez (D) 5. Emanuel (D) 6. Hyde (R) 7. Davis (D) 8. Crane (R) 9. Schakowsky (D) 10. Kirk (R) 11. Weller (R) 12. Costello (D) 13. Biggert (R) 14. Hastert (R) 15. Johnson (R) 16. Manzullo (R) 17. Evans (D) 18. LaHood (R) 19. Shimkus (R) Indiana 1. Visclosky (D) 2. Chocola (R) 3. Souder (R) 4. Buyer (R)	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	X	\\?\\\\?\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	ノノノノメノメノメメノメSXX/XX ノX?X	/// ?/X/X? XX/XS XX/? X ? XXX	ノノノX	89 100 100 0 100 0 0 0 80 0 0 0 10 110 0 89 0 0	93 0 0 4
25. Diaz-Balart, M. (R) X Georgia 1. Kingston (R) 2. Bishop (D)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	10 90	3 87	5. Burton (R) 6. Pence (R) 7. Carson (D) 8. Hostettler (R) 9. Hill (D)	XXXX	\X\X\	X? X/	XX/XX	ノノノノ	XXXX	^? ✓ × ✓	\X\X\	\X\X\	ノノノノ	30 22 100 20 70	10 7 100 10 73
3. Marshall (D) 4. Majette (D) 5. Lewis (D) 6. Isakson (R) 7. Linder (R) 8. Collins (R) 9. Norwood (R)	XXXXXX	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	XXXXXX	ンノン×××ン	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	? / X X X X	\/\\?\\XX	X X X X X X X X X X X X X	67 100 100 0 0 0 20	67 100 100 0 0 3 7	1. Nussle (R) 2. Leach (R) 3. Boswell (D) 4. Latham (R) 5. King (R)	XXXX	X	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	X X X X	? X X X	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	0 30 80 0 10	0 23 77 0 10

	, sign	Style	ions of the same o	ond s.	ates in the state of the state	Ougo Con 1.	of the state of th	ide Signal Signa	Constitution of the consti	ind And State Stat	Acide Solitain	i si	į	rata s	in the state of th		ates is the contract of the co	Drugo Drugo	eneith and the second of the s	diol did	Seneriti		Sex piod of pictory of the pictory o
Alliance Position Y	o v N	or ≥ or N	Y	^ک ا ا	Y	γ. Υ	y .	Υ Υ	N .	ران الاران الاران	%	Alliance Position	Y 1	V 3	Y Y	N N	γ ⁰ 6.	ک ^{رد} ۲.	Y	A A	y _O ,	***	%
Kansas												4. Camp (R)	(X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0
1. Moran (R) 2. Ryun (R) 3. Moore (D) 4. Tiahrt (R) Kentucky	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	\/X	/ X/ X	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	\/\X	30 20 80 0	10 7 73 0	5. Kildee (D) 6. Upton (R) 7. Smith (R) 8. Rogers (R) 9. Knollenberg (R)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	XXXX	XXXXX	\XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	100 0 30 0	100 3 13 0
1. Whitfield (R) X 2. Lewis (R) X 3. Northup (R) X 4. Lucas (D) X 5. Rogers (R) X 6. Fletcher (R) X	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XX//XX	X X X X X	XXXX?	X	XXXXX	0 0 10 50 0	0 0 3 43 0	10. Miller (R) 11. McCotter (R) 12. Levin (D) 13. Kilpatrick (D) 14. Conyers (D) 15. Dingell (D)		\\\\\?\\\?\\\	^×////	***	XXXXX	^×////	^×////	** * * * * * * * * * * * *	^×////	100	10 0 100 100 100 97
Louisiana 1. Vitter (R) 2. Jefferson (D) 3. Tauzin (R) 4. McCrery (R) 5. Alexander (D) 6. Baker (R) 7. John (D)	XXXXXX	XXXXX	XXXXXX	XXXXXX	/	X/XX/X/	XXXXXX	X/XX/X?	XXXXX	10 90 0 0 30 0 44	3 89 0 0 30 0 55	Minnesota 1. Gutknecht (R) 2. Kline (R) 3. Ramstad (R) 4. McCollum (D) 5. Sabo (D) 6. Kennedy (R) 7. Peterson (D) 8. Oberstar (D)		XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	\x x \ \	ノ×ノノ メメノノ メメノノ	XXXXXXX	X?X//XX/	XXX?\XX\	/xx//xx/	90 0 20	20 0 3 100 93 0 47 100
Maine 1. Allen (D) 2. Michaud (D) Maryland 1. Gilchrest (R)	\(\struct \)	/	✓ ✓	\(\)	\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}	\(\times \)	✓ ✓	X	/	100 100	97 100	Mississippi 1. Wicker (R) 2. Thompson (D) 3. Pickering (R) 4. Taylor (D)		XXX	XXX	XXX	✓ × × ✓	X /? /	X X X	X X X	X X X	10 90 0 90	3 97 0 70
2. Ruppersberger (D) 3. Cardin (D) 4. Wynn (D) 5. Hoyer (D) 6. Bartlett (R) 7. Cummings (D) 8. Van Hollen (D) Massachusetts	ノノノノXノノ	XXXXXX	ノノノノ×ノノ	ノノノノ×ノノ	ノ×ノノノノノ	////×//	ノノノノ×ノノ	ノノノノ×ノノ			90 90 90 97 3 100 100	2. Akin (R) 3. Gephardt (D) 4. Skelton (D) 5. McCarthy (D) 6. Graves (R)	X	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ノ×ノノノ××	\X\\\\X\\\\X\\\\X\\\\X\\\\X\\\X\\\X\\\	/X?/XX	? X ? / / X	? X ? / / X	/X?//X	ノノノノノ××	88 10 100 90 90	80 90 0
1. Olver (D) 2. Neal (D) 3. McGovern (D) 4. Frank (D) 5. Meehan (D) 6. Tierney (D)	ノノノノノノ	11111	ノノノノノノ	ノノノノノノ	ノノノノノノ	ノノノノノノ	ノ ? ノ ノ ノ ノ	ノノノノノノ	1	100 100 100 100 100	100 93 100 100 100 100	7. Blunt (R) 8. Emerson (R) 9. Hulshof (R) Montana AL Rehberg (R)	< ?	X	XX	X	X	X X	X	X	X	0 38 0	0 15 0
7. Markey (D) 8. Capuano (D) 9. Lynch (D) 10. Delahunt (D) Michigan	ノノノノ	11/1	ノノノノ	1/1/	ノノノノ	?//	ノノノノ	ノノノノ	1	100 100	100 100 100 100	Nebraska 1. Bereuter (R) 2. Terry (R) 3. Osborne (R) Nevada	/ X	X	XXX	XXX	/ / /	XXX	XXX	XX	XXX	30 0 10	13 3 3
1. Stupak (D) 2. Hoekstra (R) 3. Ehlers (R)	XX	XX	XX	XX	1//	?	XX	? X	XX	100 13 10	100 4 3	1. Berkley (D) 2. Gibbons (R) 3. Porter (R)	/	XXX	XX	X	XX	XX	XX	X	XX	90 0 0	90 0 0

, q	Serior V	at 3.	remed to see of	ond s.	outer Court of Court	7: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Section of the sectio	eile o	Selfite Selfite	ing of the property of the pro	de la	,0° e zot ^e	~ ?°	in the state of th	protection of the state of the	remed to see	duding S	ous land	7 12 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	Seneth Order	ind Or sind of	Sold of the state	ing of its of the state of the	of the property of the propert
Alliance Position Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	%	%	Alliance Position	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	%	%
New Hampshire 1. Bradley (R)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	0	28. Slaughter (D)					V	V	V	V	V	V	100 10	100 10
1. Bradley (R) X 2. Bass (R) X	X	x	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	10	7	29. Houghton (R)	^	^	•	^	X	^	^	^	^	^	10	10
New Jersey												North Carolina 1. Ballance (D)				J	ſ		J	J	J		100	100
1. Andrews (D)	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	1	1	90	90	2. Etheridge (D)	/	/	/	/	1	X	/	/	/	1	90	90
2. LoBiondo (R) X 3. Saxton (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0 0	0	3. Jones (R) 4. Price (D)	X	X	X	X	1	/	X	X	X	1	30	14
4. Smith (R)	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	10	3	5. Burr (R)	X	X	X	X	1	Ŷ	X	X	X	X	90 10	93
5. Garrett (R)	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	1	20	20	6. Coble (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	3
6. Pallone (D) 7. Ferguson (R)	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	100 0	100	7. McIntyre (D)	/	\	X	X	/	X	V	/	/	/	70	73
8. Pascrell (D)	5	5	5	5	Ŷ	5	5	5	5	90	97	8. Hayes (R) 9. Myrick (R)	X	X	X	X	X	<u>*</u>	X Y	X	X	X	0 10	0 3
9. Rothman (D)	1	1	1	1	X	1	1	1	1	90	97			X	X	X	X	X	X	?	?	X	0	0
10. Payne (D)	V	V	\	V	Ŷ	V	\	V	V	90	97 0	11. Taylor (R)	X	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	X	10	3
11. Frelinghuysen (R) X 12. Holt (D)	5	5	5	5	Ŷ	5	5	5	5	0 90	87	12. Watt (D) 13. Miller (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			100 100
13. Menendez (D)	1	1	/	1	X	/	1	1	1	90	97		•	•	V	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	100	100
New Mexico												North Dakota	1	/		/	v	1	/	1	1	V	80	80
1.Wilson (R)	X	X	X	X	/	X	X	X	X	10	7	AL Pomeroy (D)	•	V	V	•	^	•	•	/	•	^	00	00
2. Pearce (R) X 3. Udall (D)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0 100	0 100	Ohio (P)	V	V	V	· ·	V	· ·	V	V	9	1	44	-
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	100	100	1. Chabot (R) 2. Portman (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Y	Y	11 0	3 0
New York	-		-			1			-	100	100	3. Turner (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	?	X	X	0	0
1. Bishop (D) 2. Israel (D)	1	Y	1	Y	1	1	1	1	1	80	100 73	4. Oxley (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0
3. King (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	?	X	0	3	5. Gillmor (R) 6. Strickland (D)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0 100	0 100
4. McCarthy (D)	1	X	1	1	1	/	1	1	1	90	83	7. Hobson (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0
5. Ackerman (D) 6. Meeks (D)	1	1	1	1	V	1	1	1	1	100 90	100 97	8. Boehner (R)	X	?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0
7. Crowley (D)	/	1	/	1	5	1	1	1	1	100	93	9. Kaptur (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		100	97
8. Nadler (D)	1	1	1	1	1	?	1	1	1		100	10. Kucinich (D) 11. Jones (D)	1	/	1	/	1	/	1	?	1		100 100	
9. Weiner (D)	1	1	1	1	V	1	1	1	1		100	12. Tiberi (R)	X	X	+	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0
10. Towns (D) 11. Owens (D)	1	1	1	1	5	1	1	1	1	90 100	93 100	13. Brown (D)	√	1	\	\	\	1	V	1	\	V		100
12. Velazquez (D) 🗸	/	1	/	/	/	/	/	1	1	100	100	14. LaTourette (R) 15. Pryce (R)	X	Ŷ	Ŷ	X	Ŷ	X	Ŷ	Ŷ	?	Ŷ	11 0	3 0
13. Fossella (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	?	X	X	100	0	16. Regula (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0
14. Maloney (D) 15. Rangel (D)	1	1	1	1	1	?	1	1	1	100 100	97 100	17. Ryan (D)	\	1	Ŷ	/	/	/	V	/	\	1	90	90
16. Serrano (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100	100	18. Ney (R)	X	^	^	^	^	^	^	X	×	^	0	0
17. Engel (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		100	Oklahoma	10	10		10		10	10	**	-	1.0		
18. Lowey (D) 1 9. Kelly (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	100	1. Sullivan (R) 2. Carson (D)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	X	10 60	5 73
20. Sweeney (R) X	X	X	X	X	X	?	?	?	X	0	0	3. Lucas (R)	X	X	x	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0
21. McNulty (D)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100	100	4. Cole (R)	XXX	X	X	X	X ?	X	X	X	X	X	0	0
22. Hinchey (D) 23. McHugh (R)	X	X	X	X	1	X	?	1	X	100 22	100	5. Istook (R)	X	X	X	X	?	V	X	X	X	X	11	14
24. Boehlert (R) 🗶	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	X	10	3	Oregon												
25. Walsh (R)	X	X	X	X	X	Ŷ	X	X	X	0	0	1. Wu (D)	\	1	\	\ \ \	\	\ \ \	\	1	\	X	90	90
26. Reynolds (R) X 27. Quinn (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0 3	 Walden (R) Blumenauer (D) 	X	5	5	X	5	5	5	5	X	5	0 90	0 93
	′		/		,	′′				Ű		5. 2.3e. (B)	•		V	/	_						20	

Ş	Sign of Sign o	ide die de la company de la co	in single of the contract of t	ongre S	ates lates la	Origo C	Sugar S.	ing String Strin	One of the control of	ind And Side Side Side Side Side Side Side Sid	Aging Single State of the State	i de socie	Persion V	ride iic	er of	side lot to the lot of	Solution of the solution of th	Selection of Cudara	dion dion dion die	Stor Me Are The Area of the Ar	ind And And And And And And And And And A	production of the state of the
Alliance Position Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	%	%	Alliance Position Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	%	%
4. DeFazio (D) 5. Hooley (D)	1					1		1	1	90 70	97 80	3. Johnson, S. (R) X 4. Hall (R) X 5. Hensarling (R) X	XX	X X	X	XXX	XXX	X	X	X	0 20 10	0 23 10
Pennsylvania 1. Brady (D) 2. Fattah (D) 3. English (R) 4. Hart (R) 5. Peterson (R) 6. Gerlach (R) 7. Weldon (R) 8. Greenwood (R) 9. Shuster (R) 10. Sherwood (R) 11. Kanjorski (D) 12. Murtha (D) 13. Hoeffel (D) 14. Doyle (D) 15. Toomey (R) 16. Pitts (R) 17. Holden (D) 18. Murphy (R) 19. Platts (R) Rhode Island	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	ノン××ノ×? ×ノノノノノノ×ノ×ノ	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	100 100 0 0 10 0 0 10 100 100 100 100 20 0 100 10	97 100 0 0 3 0 0 0 4 3 100 96 97 100 7 0 97 0 3	6. Barton (R) 7. Culberson (R) 8. Brady (R) 9. Lampson (D) 10. Doggett (D) 11. Edwards (D) 12. Granger (R) 13. Thornberry (R) 14. Paul (R) 15. Hinojosa (D) 16. Reyes (D) 17. Stenholm (D) 18. Jackson-Lee (D) 19. Neugebauer (R) 20. Gonzalez (D) 21. Smith (R) 22. DeLay (R) 23. Bonilla (R) 24. Frost (D) 25. Bell (D) 26. Burgess (R)	·***///***////o/**?//*	`XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	·×××//××××//×/×/×/×/×/×/	`XXX\\\XXX\\\\XXXX\\XXXX\\XXXX\\XXXXXXXX			`XXX?\\X?X\\\?X\XX??X	ノXX///X/X/X	0 20 10 89 100 80 0 11 30 90 100 70	0 7 3 86 100 86 0 3 18 83 97 63 100 13 93 0 0 0 97 89 0
 Kennedy (D) Langevin (D) South Carolina 	1	1	1	1	/	1	1	1		100 100	100 100	27. Ortiz (D) 28. Rodriguez (D) 29. Green (D)	111	111				111	111	111	100	93 100 100
1. Brown (R) 2. Wilson (R) 3. Barrett (R) 4. DeMint (R) 5. Spratt (D) 6. Clyburn (D) South Dakota	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXXX	/xx//x	XXXXX	XXXXX	X X ?	XX////	10 0 10 33 100 90	3 5 10 10 100 97	30. Johnson, E. (D) 31. Carter (R) 32. Sessions (R) Utah 1. Bishop (R) 2. Matheson (D) 3. Cannon (R)	XX	XX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XX	XX	XX	90 0 0 0 30 0	97 0 0 53 0
AL Janklow (R) X	X	X	X	X	/	?	X	X	X	11	11	Vermont										
Tennessee 1. Jenkins (R) 2. Duncan (R) 3. Wamp (R) 4. Davis (D) 5. Cooper (D) 6. Gordon (D) 7. Blackburn (R) 8. Tanner (D) 9. Ford (D) Texas 1. Sandlin (D) 2. Turner (D)	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX XX	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ノノノノノメ×? ノノ	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXX///X// /?	XXX///X/?	XXXXXXXX	10 10 20 80 100 90 0 60 88	3 3 7 80 100 79 0 60 81	AL Sanders (I) Virginia 1. Davis, J. (R) 2. Schrock (R) 3. Scott (D) 4. Forbes (R) 5. Goode (R) 6. Goodlatte (R) 7. Cantor (R) 8. Moran (D) 9. Boucher (D) 10. Wolf (R) 11. Davis, T. (R)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	XXXXXX	100 10 11 100 10 10 0 100 80 10 11	97 3 3 100 4 3 3 0 87 87 3 3

Q V	ension (of Stephological	Ferred Stranger	State	ouse (Drugo Co	Security of the security of th	ion of selection of the	So de de la company de la comp	Single Si	production of the contract of	i, or	\. ^{?°}	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2	idecid	tened to be	Condition of the condit	er of the contract of the cont	Lingo Carriero Carrie	eneiti indone	idol Original Services	John John John John John John John John	ing the state of t	Sr. sido Rolling St. sido Spilose St. si	i og gde gde gde gde gde gde gde gde gde gd
Alliance Position Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		%	Alliance Position	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	%	%	
Washington												Wisconsin													
1. Inslee (D) 2. Larsen (D) 3. Baird (D) 4. Hastings (R) 5. Nethercutt (R) 6. Dicks (D) 7. McDermott (D) 8. Dunn (R) 9. Smith (D)	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\X\XX\\X\	? X X / / /	\\\XX\\X\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\\\X?\\X	\\\\?\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	1	100 80 100 10 0 100 100 0 80	97 83 86 3 0 97 100 0 72	1. Ryan (R) 2. Baldwin (D) 3. Kind (D) 4. Kleczka (D) 5. Sensenbrenner (R 6. Petri (R) 7. Obey (D) 8. Green (R) Wyoming	//X//X	\\XX\X	\\XX\X	\x\x\x\	X		\/?XX\	//? X/	X///XX/ ?	\/XX	90 100 22 20	3 100 90 100 7 7 100 0	
West Virginia			-		-		0					AL Cubin (R)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	
1. Mollohan (D) 2. Capito (R) 3. Rahall (D)	X	X	X	X	1	X	, , ,	X	X	100 10 90	97 3 90														

Get Active! Make a Difference! Vote on Election Day!



